

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 01/11/2002 Gurbe Jelle Mesu 1669 DIV 9041 10/044,232 EXAMINER 04/13/2004 7590 The Quaker Oats Company CORBIN, ARTHUR L 321 North Clark Street ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Mail Code 25-7 Chicago, IL 60610 1761

DATE MAILED: 04/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. Applicant(s)	c 1.	
	(0 (044,232 MES	o Art Unit	
	Examiner Group ARTHUR L. CORBIN 17		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet beneath the correspo	ndence address—	
Period for Reply	_		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM	M THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a new fixed period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period period for reply will, by stated and period for reply received by the Office later than three months after the maternal adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of th tte, cause the application to become ABANDONED	will be considered timely. iis communication.) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ☐ 6 - 2	_ 02_	•	
☐ This action is FINAL .	•		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 	for formal matters, prosecution as to the i C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s) (- 9		in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)		wn from consideration.	
□ Claim(s)			
□ Claim(s)		.	
□ Claim(s)		d to.	
Claim(s) (- 9		restriction or election	
Application Papers requirement			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ed to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d).		
All □ Some* □ None of the:			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ○ ? (487,137.			
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received			
in this national stage application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
*Certified copies not received:		•	
Attachment(s)			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s) 🗆 Interview Summary, P	TO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Par	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Office Action Summary			

Art Unit: 1761

RESTRICTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5, drawn to an apparatus for making a granola food product, classified in class 99, subclass 483.
- II. Claims 6-7, drawn to a granola food product, classified in class 426, subclass 93.
- III. Claims 8-9, drawn to a method of making a granola food product, classified in class 426, subclass 309.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, e.g. a process of making a dough product.
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product, and

Application/Control Number: 10/044,232

Art Unit: 1761

the apparatus as claimed can be used to make a different product, e.g. a dough product.

- 5. Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by a materially different process, e.g. a process wherein a liquid binder such as corn syrup, rather than sugar, is mixed with the granola components.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Applicant is requested to update the status of parent SN 09/487,137 in the insertion on page 1, before this first line of the spec.

Application/Control Number: 10/044,232

Art Unit: 1761

Page 4

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arthur Corbin whose telephone number is (571) 272-1399. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:30 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on (571) 272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A Corbin/af April 9, 2004

ARTHUR L. CORBIN PRIMARY EXAMINER

4-9-04